

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,600		10/02/2003	Mark A. Meltser	8540G-000145	8227
27572	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNES P.O. BOX	•	Y & PIERCE, I	WILKINS III, HARRY D		
		.S. MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1742	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/677,600	MELTSER, MARK A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	ON. Itimely filed In the mailing date of this commu IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	plaction requirement					
اکارہ	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	·					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
וויי –	The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P1O-1	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	·	reu in this ivational Sta	ge			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ved.				
		•					
Attach	1965)						
Attachmen 1) Notice	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summai	v (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date	2)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	()			
C Detect and T	Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/677,600 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18 and 36-42, drawn to a method of operating an electrolyzer, classified in class 205, subclass 628.
- Claims 19-35, drawn to an electrolyzer system, classified in class 205, subclass 265.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one which contain a non-pressurized water source and other means (such as a gas pump) for pressurizing the interior of the pressure vessel.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Linda Deschere on 17 February 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,600

Art Unit: 1742

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D. Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,600 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry D Wilkins, III

Examiner Art Unit 1742

hdw